





egions of Western Siberia, wildfires were recorded.

Estimation of the effect of atmospheric blocking

on methane concentration in the period of July

2007 according to the JR-Station data using the

Two stations of the JR-Station project was used

Igrim and Karasevoe (data are available at

ittp://db.cger.nies.go.jp). To study the effect of

different emission on methane concentration in

Western Siberia under atmospheric blocking conditions

numerical modelling was performed using the WRF

Table 1. Parameters used in the model WRF-Chem.

45-67° N and 55°22'-105° E

BACM Stockwell W. 1997

Ahmadov R. 2012

Mowerta HICARI

up to level 50 gPa, cells 100x105x21,Lambert projection, dx = 27 km dx = 27 kmGL = 17 km, GJ = 27 km 01.07.2007 to 29.07.2007 FNL [NCEP] [Grace Peng, 2014]

HTAP.2 Uanssens-Maenhout G .2015

EDGAR V.4.3.2 [Crippa M.,2018]

MEGAN2.1 Guenther A .2006

Morrison double-momen

BBTM Mawer E.J. 1997

Dudhia [Dudhia J. 1989] Rev. MM5 [Jiménez P.A. 2012]

Noah [Tewari, M., 2004] Yonsei Univ. [Hong S.-Y.,2006]

Grell 3D [Grell 1993, 2002]

[Morrison H ., 2009]

FINN v1.5 Wiedinmyer C. 2011 MACC vlan Bergamaschi P.,2013

Data and model description

evwords

WRF v3.8.1

Chem v 3.8.1 model

Domain

Period calculate Meteorology data Chemical

nechanism

condi tions

Anthropogenic

Emission:

Biogenic Fire Wetland

Microphysics

Long wave rad

Shortwave rad Surface layer

Soil mode

Cloud

Initial and houndary P. N. Antokhin, O. Yu. Antokhina, V.V. Antonovich, M. Yu. Arshinov, B.D. Belan, D.K. Davydov, A.V. Kozlov, A.V. Fofonov

V.E. Zuev institute of atmospheric optics, Russian academy of sciences, Siberian branch,1 Academician Zuev square Tomsk, Russia, 634021 e-mail: apn@iao.ru, antokhina@iao.ru, bbd@iao.ru

A NUMERICAL STUDY OF THE EFFECT OF SUMMER ATMOSPHERIC BLOCKING ON THE METHANE CONCENTRATION IN WESTERN SIBERIA

P.N. Antokhin, O.Yu. Antokhina, V.V. Antonovich, M.Yu. Arshinov, B.D. Belan, D.K. Davydov, A.V. Kozlov, A.V. Fofonov

e-mail: apn@iao.ru

"CITES-2019"

Keywords	The comparison of measure	data and simulation results	
Methane, wildfires, atmospheric blocking	8077777 1777741	*CV77776 187777	
Abstarct	E	C. M.	Elizabethan
The results of numerical modeling and measurements of methane concentration for the period of summer atmospheric blocking for the territory of Western Siberia are presented in work.	The distance of the distance o		
Bækground			
Methane is an important greenhouse gas, and its atmospheric concentration has nearly tripled since pre-industrial times. The growth rate of atmospheric	a)	t and the second s	c)
methane is determined by the balance between surface emissions and photochemical destruction by the hydroxyl radical. The relationship between methane concentration and atmospheric circulation must be			
taken into account. However, this relationship is not well understood. This work is aimed to study the effect of the July 2007 blocking event on methane.concentration in Western Siberia. Atmospheric	We compare the property of the	The contraction of the problem of th	
blockings are the most important phenomena of the circulation of the mid-katitudes. In 2007, the atmospheric circulation was characterized by almost continuous blocking in Western Siberia from July 2 to			
July 18. A slight weakening of the blocking was observed	d)	e)	f)
on July 7-8. Between July 15 and 18, in the northern	Total emission	Wetland emission	Wildfire emission

Figure 1. The methane concentration (ppm) for Igrim (igr) (a,b,c) and Karasevoe (krs) (d,e,f n each figure: (upper panel), model concentration (blue), measured (red). Middle panel: blocking riterion for latitudes 65, 75 and 85 N. Lower panel: pressure (blue) and day height of the boundary: layer (red). Hatching shows blocking periods. Emissions used: total - a.d; wetland - b.e; biomas burning - c.f.

Concentration growth rates

We can be drawn from the presented data wetland emissions make the main contribution to the dynamics of methan oncentration. If they excluded, then the remaining emissions are not enough to keep in a constant concentration of methane for a month. However, the wetland emission was set by a constant; the model was showing an increase oncentration during blocking, which may indicate methane accumulation. In fig.1c shown graphs the concentration neasured on Igrim station and obtained from the simulation using only methane emissions from biomass burning The model concentration is generally lower than the observed concentrations. Until 16 July the modelling result didn't show concentration methane variation within a day. From July 16, the model data coincide with the measure data. In the nighttime, the concentrations increased for the measured and model data. Qualitatively, the simulatio and measurement results are the same. The growth rate of concentration for Igrim station in the period between n oon and midnight (Vgrad) was 4-8 ppb/hour for model calculations and 30-50 ppb/hour for observed data. Averag Vgrad of methane given in Table 2.

Table 2. Average Vgrad methane (ppb/hour) for station Igrim .						
	Period	Measured	Wildfire	Wetland	Total	
	(July 2007)		emission	e mission	emission	
	10-15	9.86 ± 14.91	0.05 ± 0.34	823 ± 2.85	829 ± 1.82	
	16-19	34.39 ± 8.27	1.63 ± 1.45	10.45 ± 1.69	11.8 ± 6.98	

The emissions from wetlands exhibit the constant existence of the diurnal variation with Verad 20-25 npb/hou (fig.1b). Considering that during the period of blocking, the contribution of other emissions is insignificant, it follows that the growth rate deficit is 6-17 nnh/hour. Based on this assessment, it can be assumed that either those use in calculating methane emissions from biomass burning are underestimated, or we do not take into account the ncrease in emissions from wetlands during the blocking period

Conclusion

The WRF-Chem model reproduces the changes in methane concentration during periods of summer blocking it Western Siberia with the used set of emissions. During the blocking period, an increase of concentration CH4 ma be associated with the accumulation from wetland emissions and methane emissions from biomass burning in blocking area. However, for model data, the growth rate of concentrations between noon and midnight is lower than for measure data. It is may be due to underestimated emissions of methane from fires from July 16-19 or emission from wetlands during the blocking period.

Acknowledgments Measurements at JR STATION are carried out under the support of the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation (IAO SB RAS State Task No. AAAA-A17-117021310142-5), and the research of the methane fluxes was supported by the Russian Science Foundation Project No 17-17-01095